

**QUALITY CONTROL FOR A FLORIDA CITRUS PACKINGHOUSE**

**M. A. Ismail**

**Florida Department of Citrus  
Citrus Research and Education Center  
Lake Alfred**

**and**

**W. F. Wardowski**

**University of Florida, IFAS  
Citrus Research and Education Center  
Lake Alfred**

## QUALITY CONTROL FOR A FLORIDA CITRUS PACKINGHOUSE

The Florida citrus industry is entering a new phase in this post-freeze era. A significant shift in citrus acreage from the north to warmer locations in south and southwest Florida have already taken place and is expected to intensify (Freie and Young 1989; Hall and Bowers 1989). New high density plantings (Whitney and Wheaton 1984) coupled with use of fertigation practices (Koo and Smajstrala 1984) will help increase yield per acre and bring groves into production at an early tree age and may have an impact on fruit keeping quality. Continued increase in consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables may also lead to a shift in planting to new varieties such as the AmberSweet and Sunburst and increased acreage of specialty citrus fruit (tangerines, tangelos and Temples), which are usually more vulnerable to physical injury and require greater care in harvesting and handling.

Florida citrus is well known for its excellent internal quality. Because of the warm climate and rainfall, Florida citrus fruit has thin peel, high juice and sugar contents and lower acid levels than comparable fruit grown in arid climates, e.g., California, Arizona and Mediterranean citrus growing areas (Soule and Grierson 1986). On the other hand, Florida citrus tend to be pale yellow in color on the outside and carries many scars and blemishes due to summer rainstorms and the many pests and diseases which thrive in

subtropical climate. When Florida oranges are placed side by side in supermarket bins next to California citrus, difference in appearance is very striking. Florida fruit are usually wind-scarred and blemished with symptoms of melanose, rust mite and greasy spot

If Florida is to effectively compete in the world-wide market for fresh citrus, Florida growers, packers and shippers must place greater emphasis on those preharvest cultural practices and postharvest handling methods which will improve external fruit quality and extend its shelf life.

We believe that Florida citrus growers can produce fruit with good external appearance and excellent internal quality if the available information on pest control and cultural practices are applied properly and at the proper time.

Quality cannot be maintained without systematic and consistent quality control practices. In order to institute a successful quality control program, one must be able to monitor, measure, and maintain records of key parameters important to the preservation of quality. Extensive quality control programs are applied to citrus packing operations in California, Arizona, Israel, South Africa and Morocco, while in Florida few programs are in place.

This paper presents a general listing of important quality parameters between harvesting and packing for monitoring by a citrus packinghouses. It is presented here

as the Citrus Packinghouse Quality Control Checklist<sup>1</sup>, a guide to the packinghouse manager from which to choose parameters for gradual implementation. For example, in the first year of instituting a quality control program, one start by monitoring important factors in drenching degreening, washing, color-add, fungicide application waxing, and fruit drying. Other parameters may be added to the program in subsequent years. A successful quality control program will require the full-time effort of a well-trained employee and must be implemented continuously not just when problems arise.

---

The authors wish to thank Alan Conklin, FMC Corp. for his assistance in developing the checklist.

#### SELECTED REFERENCES

- Freie, R. L. and Young, H. V. 1989. Florida agricultural statistics citrus summary 1988-89
- Hall, D. J. and Bowers, M. J. 1989. Peel disorders of Florida citrus as related to growing area and color-add formulations. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 102:243-246.
- Koo, R. C. J. and Smajstrala, A. G. 1984. Effect of trickle irrigation and fertigation on fruit production and juice quality of 'Valencia' orange. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 97: 8-10.

- Soule, J. and Grierson, W. 1986. Maturity and grade standards. IN: Fresh Citrus Fruits. Wardowski, W. F Nagy, S. and Grierson, W. (eds. AVI Publishing Co Westport,
- Whitney, J. D. and Wheaton, T. A. 1984. Tree spacing affects citrus fruit distribution and yield. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 97: 44-46.

## CITRUS PACKINGHOUSE QUALITY CONTROL CHECKLIST

Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Observer \_\_\_\_\_  
 Packinghouse \_\_\_\_\_

### 1. Picking Information

Grove \_\_\_\_\_

Variety \_\_\_\_\_

Picking Crew \_\_\_\_\_

Harvest Date \_\_\_\_\_

Has fruit been treated with preharvest Benlate spray?

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Pallet bin condition \_\_\_\_\_

Predegreening drench chlorine (ppm) \_\_\_\_\_ pH \_\_\_\_\_

TBZ (ppm) \_\_\_\_\_

### 2. Degreening

Temperature wet/dry \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_ (hourly)

Relative humidity \_\_\_\_\_ %

Humidity system operation \_\_\_\_\_ (hourly)

Ethylene concentration \_\_\_\_\_ ppm

Degreening time \_\_\_\_\_ hours

### 3. Packingline Equipment

Dump rate \_\_\_\_\_ box/hr. Even flow from dump

Stems being removed effectively

Culls being removed effectively

Decay type(s) \_\_\_\_\_

Chlorine Spray

Cl<sub>2</sub> \_\_\_\_\_ ppm

pH \_\_\_\_\_

Time \_\_\_\_\_

## Presizer

Sizes being packed \_\_\_\_\_  
 Sizes being removed \_\_\_\_\_

## Washer

# of brushes \_\_\_\_\_ width \_\_\_\_\_  
 Brush speed \_\_\_\_\_ rpm \_\_\_\_\_  
 Rinse effective \_\_\_\_\_  
 Rinse gal/min \_\_\_\_\_  
 Soap \_\_\_\_\_ with SOPP  
 Time \_\_\_\_\_ sec.

## Pregrade

# of graders \_\_\_\_\_  
 % fruit being discarded \_\_\_\_\_  
 Cannery \_\_\_\_\_ %  
 Culls \_\_\_\_\_ %  
 Decay \_\_\_\_\_ %, Other %  
 Fruit/grader/hour \_\_\_\_\_

## Water Elimination

# of brushes \_\_\_\_\_  
 # of donuts \_\_\_\_\_  
 Brush speed \_\_\_\_\_ rpm  
 Flick (Flipper) Bars in use \_\_\_\_\_  
 Time in water eliminator \_\_\_\_\_ sec  
 Effective water removal \_\_\_\_\_

## Fungicide Application

Brush speed \_\_\_\_\_ rpm  
 Residence time \_\_\_\_\_ sec  
 Fungicide type \_\_\_\_\_ ppm  
 Fungicide volume \_\_\_\_\_ ml/min  
 . Nozzles clogged? \_\_\_\_\_  
 . Field boxes/gal \_\_\_\_\_  
 Fruit volume controls \_\_\_\_\_

## Prewax Dryer

Width \_\_\_\_\_ inches  
 Rollers/minute \_\_\_\_\_  
 Temperature \_\_\_\_\_ °F  
 Residence time \_\_\_\_\_ sec.  
 Fruit condition at discharge \_\_\_\_\_

## Polisher

Temperature \_\_\_\_\_ °F  
 Brush speed \_\_\_\_\_ rpm  
 Wipeouts on? \_\_\_\_\_  
 Condition of fruit at discharge \_\_\_\_\_

## Wax Applicator

Wax \_\_\_\_\_ fungicide in wax  
 Brush speed \_\_\_\_\_ rpm  
 Time on brushes \_\_\_\_\_ sec  
 # brushes after wax application \_\_\_\_\_  
 Nozzles clogged? \_\_\_\_\_  
 Brush condition \_\_\_\_\_  
 Wax applicator type \_\_\_\_\_  
 Field boxes/gal \_\_\_\_\_  
 Fruit volume controls \_\_\_\_\_

## Wax Dryer

Temperature \_\_\_\_\_ °F  
 Drying time \_\_\_\_\_ sec  
 Roller condition \_\_\_\_\_  
 Fruit condition at discharge \_\_\_\_\_  
 Number of turns \_\_\_\_\_  
 Method of turns \_\_\_\_\_

## Final Grade

# of graders \_\_\_\_\_  
 Condition of grade table rollers \_\_\_\_\_  
 Fruit/grader/hour \_\_\_\_\_

## Sizing

Type \_\_\_\_\_  
 Condition \_\_\_\_\_  
 Sizes \_\_\_\_\_

## Packing

# of packers \_\_\_\_\_  
 Bulge \_\_\_\_\_ inches  
 Stack type (export or domestic) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Pallet type \_\_\_\_\_  
 # of Diphenyl pads \_\_\_\_\_