

Controling Primary Infection in Young Plantings

Mike Irey¹, Ross Ptacek², James Keesling²

¹Southern Gardens Citrus, ² University of Florida

Young groves

 The continued success of the citrus industry will depend on bringing young groves in to production

- More boxes/ac = lower unit cost per lb solids

- Most (all?) people would agree that the longer you can keep the trees clean, the better off you will be (higher production)
- The question is how do we keep them clean?



Young groves

- Given the current inoculum load, we haven't been able to keep them clean.....
 - Even with intensive management (2-4 sprays/month)

Trial	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4 (partial)
CRDF 1	51%	70%	85%	
CRDF 2	22%	100%	86%	
CRDF 3	14%	29%	79%	
SGC	2% (<mark>4</mark>)	3% (<mark>6</mark>)	16% (<mark>32</mark>)	72% (<mark>100</mark>)

CRDF data provided by Dr. Catharine Hatcher (rootstock trials, based on PCR testing) SGC data based on visual scouting

New Plantings



 All of the yellow area replanted, existing grove (blue) still there



ACP lifecycle 14 days at 28C, and generation to generation time ~17-22 days



 All of the yellow area replanted, existing grove (blue) still there



Types of spread

Primary spread (coming from outside, long distance)

Secondary (coming from inside, short distance)





Types of spread – how does that apply to our situation?

Primary spread (coming from outside, long distance)

Secondary (coming from inside, short distance)



Mature block - 1X/Month

Will complete life cycle

- Eggs to nymphs: 3-4 days
- Nymphs to adults:10-14 days

Young block – 4X/Month

Will not complete life cycle, may get nymphs but should not get adults



ACP Data

 So is this what is actually happening in the field?

column									
	2015			2016			2017		
Row Labels	Тар	Nymphs	Adults	Тар	Nymphs	Adults	Тар	Nymphs	Adults
Blocks with older trees	0.07	0.14	0.07	0.38	0.57	0.18	0.02	0.07	0.05
⊞ 316-F ,	0.22	0.36	0.25	1.34	1.24	0.59	-	-	-
∃ 317-A ,	-	-	-						
⊞ 317-A,D	-	-	-	-	0.53	0.17			
⊞ 317-A,E	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.08	0.27	0.08	-	-	-
. 317-A,F	-	-	-	0.13	0.54	0.06	-	0.07	0.04
⊞ 317-B,E	-	-	-	0.71	1.33	0.33			
⊞ 317-B,F	-	0.13	-	0.04	0.34	0.04			
⊞ 318-A,B	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.03	0.21	0.04	0.03	-	-
⊞ 318-A,C	0.01	0.02	-	0.10	0.29	0.08	-	-	-
⊞ 318-B,C	-	-	-						
⊞ 319-A,B				-	0.50	0.11	-	-	-
⊞ 319-A,C	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.12	0.26	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.03
⊞ 319-A,D	-	-	-	0.35	0.47	0.18			
⊞ 320-A,B							-	-	-
⊞ 320-A,C	0.24	0.35	0.17	0.26	0.50	0.10	0.11	0.64	0.47
⊞ 320-A,D	-	-	-	0.20	0.35	0.09			
⊞ 320-B,D							-	-	0.17
⊞ 321-A,C	0.03	0.18	0.04	0.32	0.67	0.13	-	-	0.13
⊞ 321-A,D				-	0.92	0.17	-	0.17	-
⊞ 321-B,C	-	-	-	0.42	1.08	0.17			
∃ 321-B,D	0.06	0.26	0.06	0.45	0.76	0.10	-	0.11	-
⊞ 321-F,F	-		-						
Young trees	0.01	-	-	0.08	0.10	0.01	-	-	-
⊞ 315-1,1				-	-	-			
₩ 315-1,2	-	-	-	0.13	0.13	0.03	-	-	-
± 315-3,	-	-	-	0.10	0.19	-	-	-	-
± 316-1,				-	-	-			
± 316-1,2	0.02	-	-	0.12	0.15	0.02	-	-	-
± 316-3,				0.01			-	-	-
				0.01	-	-	-	-	-
± 31/-1,2				1	-	-	-	-	-
± 31/-2, ⇒ 210.4				1-	-	-			
± 318-1, ≂ 212-1-2							-	-	-
± 318-1,2	0.00	0.44	0.05	0.00	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.29	0.43	0.13	0.01	0.05	0.03

Column Labels 🚽

Types of spread – how does that apply to our situation?

Primary spread (coming from outside, long distance)

Secondary (coming from inside, short distance)



Mature block - 1X/Month

Young block – 4X/Month

No secondary spread due to reproduction, limited spread due to movement

- Eggs to nymphs: 3-4 days
- Nymphs to adults:10-14 days

ACP lifecycle 14 days at 28C, and generation to generation time = 22 days



- Infection has to be coming from the outside
- No amount of spraying in the young trees would prevent ingress

What is the best control strategy to protect the young trees

• Scenario 1:

 Intensive control on young trees (life cycle -), less intensive control on surrounding trees (life cycle +)

• Scenario 2:

- Moderate control on young trees (life cycle -/+),
 moderate control on surrounding trees (life cycle -/+)
- Scenario 3:
 - Less intensive control on young trees (life cycle +), intensive control on surrounding trees (life cycle -)



Modeling The Spread of HLB

Model Background

- Individual Based: Every tree, every flush on every tree, and every psyllid are represented in the model.
- Behavior and interactions between individuals are deduced as well as possible from the scientific literature.
- Spatially Explicit: We include the positions of objects so that can explore spatial aspects of HLB
 - Edge effects
 - Invasion pattern

Model Daily Activities

- New flushes emerge and mature
- Eggs and psyllid nymphs develop on the flush
- Psyllids develop and die at some rate (may be influenced by insecticide)
- Psyllids move to a new tree and flush
- Psyllids infect and lay eggs on their host flush

Model Parameterization

Parameter Name	Value				
Psyllid Daily Survival Probability	0.9847				
Eggs Per Female Per Day	10				
Egg to Adult Survival Rate	0.07912				
Egg to Adult Time	17d				
Flush Maturation Time	30				
Flush Max Occupancy	100 (nymph + eggs)				
Nymph Infectible Age	10				
Flush to Nymph Infection Probability	0.1078				
ACP to Flush Infection Probability	0.063				
Flush CLas Latent Period	10d				
Transovarial Transmission Probability	0.04				
Flush to Root Transport Probability	0.3				
Root Latent Time	730d				
Psyllid Jump Rate	10				

Simulation Setup - Visual



Two blocks of trees

- Block 1 (West): Older trees, 100% infected
- Block 2 (East): New Planting, 0% infected
- Psyllid invasion occurs only in the yellow region
- We will primarily alter the relative spraying rates of the two blocks
- West N East M means that the west block was sprayed every N days while the east was sprayed every M days
- Spray is assumed 90% effective on adults and nymphs

Results – East 10 West 30



Results – East 30 West 10



Results - East 15 West 15



Conclusions

- Monthly sprays do not disrupt the psyllid lifecycle – eventually they overflow into the new planting
- Twice monthly is sufficient to disrupt the psyllid lifecycle and mostly protect the young planting
 - Some psyllids do randomly make a longer jump, but this is unavoidable
 - Ideally the young planting is sprayed twice monthly to prevent these from reproducing.

Three Block Simulations

- In order to more clearly see the effect of spray duration on dispersal, we will simulate with three blocks
- Now East N West M means the most eastern block is sprayed every N days while the two most western blocks are sprayed every M days

Three Block – East 10 West 30



Three Block – East 30 West 10



Three Block – East 15 West 15



Are we doing it backwards.....

- What should we be doing?
 - Treat surrounding blocks (source of inoculum and ACP) more aggressively
 - 2X or more times a month
 - Treat young blocks less aggressively than now but enough to control ACP
 - 1-2X/month
 - Apply to new plantings and existing plantings
 - Model economics (yield vs. cost)



How far do you have to treat?



1.8 km swath



Source: Exil, Digitale bloc, Ceosiya, Emile Er Caographiles, CNEX/Alloue DS, USDA, USCS, Acroc AlD, ICN, and the C is Veer common th

143-

Benefit-cost of Regional Management

A study case: Cambuhy farm HLB management outside the farm (in their neighbors)



Neighbor's effect





HLB Edge effect

HLB Eradication 2004/05 - 2012/13

Local

+





External management (300 ha around farm)

Weekly ACP monitoring Insecticide spray when ACP is found Aerial insecticide spray 4-5x/year Neighbor's citrus eradication



Tachibana (2012)

Types of spread – how does that apply to our situation?

Primary spread (coming from outside, long distance)

Secondary (coming from inside, short distance)





" It is not enough that we do our best; sometimes we must do what is required" *Winston S. Churchill*