

QUALITY CONTROL FOR A FLORIDA CITRUS PACKINGHOUSE

M. A. Ismail

Florida Department of Citrus  
Citrus Research and Education Center  
Lake Alfred

and

W. F. Wardowski

University of Florida, IFAS  
Citrus Research and Education Center  
Lake Alfred

## QUALITY CONTROL FOR A FLORIDA CITRUS PACKINGHOUSE

The Florida citrus industry is entering a new phase in this post-freeze era. A significant shift in citrus acreage from the north to warmer locations in south and southwest Florida have already taken place and is expected to intensify (Freie and Young 1989; Hall and Bowers 1989). New high density plantings (Whitney and Wheaton 1984) coupled with use of fertigation practices (Koo and Smajstrala 1984) will help increase yield per acre and bring groves into production at an early tree age and may have an impact on fruit keeping quality. Continued increase in consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables may also lead to a shift in planting to new varieties such as the AmberSweet and Sunburst and increased acreage of specialty citrus fruit (tangerines, tangelos and Temples), which are usually more vulnerable to physical injury and require greater care in harvesting and handling.

Florida citrus is well known for its excellent internal quality. Because of the warm climate and rainfall, Florida citrus fruit has thin peel, high juice and sugar contents and lower acid levels than comparable fruit grown in arid climates, e.g., California, Arizona and Mediterranean citrus growing areas (Soule and Grierson 1986). On the other hand, Florida citrus tend to be pale yellow in color on the outside and carries many scars and blemishes due to summer rainstorms and the many pests and diseases which thrive in

its subtropical climate. When Florida oranges are placed side by side in supermarket bins next to California citrus, the difference in appearance is very striking. Florida fruit are usually wind-scarred and blemished with symptoms of melanose, rust mite and greasy spot.

If Florida is to effectively compete in the world-wide market for fresh citrus, Florida growers, packers and shippers must place greater emphasis on those preharvest cultural practices and postharvest handling methods which will improve external fruit quality and extend its shelf life.

We believe that Florida citrus growers can produce fruit with good external appearance and excellent internal quality if the available information on pest control and cultural practices are applied properly and at the proper time.

Quality cannot be maintained without systematic and consistent quality control practices. In order to institute a successful quality control program, one must be able to monitor, measure, and maintain records of key parameters important to the preservation of quality. Extensive quality control programs are applied to citrus packing operations in California, Arizona, Israel, South Africa and Morocco, while in Florida few programs are in place.

This paper presents a general listing of important quality parameters between harvesting and packing for monitoring by a citrus packinghouses. It is presented here



as the Citrus Packinghouse Quality Control Checklist<sup>1</sup>, a guide to the packinghouse manager from which to choose key parameters for gradual implementation. For example, in the first year of instituting a quality control program, one may start by monitoring important factors in drenching, degreening, washing, color-add, fungicide application, waxing, and fruit drying. Other parameters may be added to the program in subsequent years. A successful quality control program will require the full-time effort of a well-trained employee and must be implemented continuously and not just when problems arise.

---

<sup>1</sup> The authors wish to thank Alan Conklin, FMC Corp. for his assistance in developing the checklist.

#### SELECTED REFERENCES

- Freie, R. L. and Young, H. V. 1989. Florida agricultural statistics citrus summary 1988-89.
- Hall, D. J. and Bowers, M. J. 1989. Peel disorders of Florida citrus as related to growing area and color-add formulations. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 102:243-246.
- Koo, R. C. J. and Smajstrala, A. G. 1984. Effect of trickle irrigation and fertigation on fruit production and juice quality of 'Valencia' orange. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 97: 8-10.

Soule, J. and Grierson, W. 1986. Maturity and grade standards. IN: Fresh Citrus Fruits. Wardowski, W. F., Nagy, S. and Grierson, W. (eds.). AVI Publishing Co., Westport, CT.

Whitney, J. D. and Wheaton, T. A. 1984. Tree spacing affects citrus fruit distribution and yield. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 97: 44-46.

## CITRUS PACKINGHOUSE QUALITY CONTROL CHECKLIST

Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Observer \_\_\_\_\_  
 Packinghouse \_\_\_\_\_

## 1. Picking Information

- Grove \_\_\_\_\_
- Variety \_\_\_\_\_
- Picking Crew \_\_\_\_\_
- Harvest Date \_\_\_\_\_
- Has fruit been treated with preharvest Benlate spray? \_\_\_\_\_  
Date \_\_\_\_\_
- Pallet bin condition \_\_\_\_\_
- Predegreening drench chlorine (ppm) \_\_\_\_\_ pH \_\_\_\_\_  
TBZ (ppm) \_\_\_\_\_

## 2. Degreening

- Temperature wet/dry \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_ (hourly)
- Relative humidity \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Humidity system operation \_\_\_\_\_ (hourly)
- Ethylene concentration \_\_\_\_\_ ppm
- Degreening time \_\_\_\_\_ hours

## 3. Packingline Equipment

- Dump rate \_\_\_\_\_ box/hr. Even flow from dump \_\_\_\_\_
- Stems being removed effectively \_\_\_\_\_
- Culls being removed effectively \_\_\_\_\_
  - Decay type(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- Chlorine Spray
  - Cl<sub>2</sub> \_\_\_\_\_ ppm
  - pH \_\_\_\_\_
  - Time \_\_\_\_\_

- Presizer

- Sizes being packed \_\_\_\_\_
- Sizes being removed \_\_\_\_\_

- Washer

- # of brushes \_\_\_\_\_ width \_\_\_\_\_
- Brush speed \_\_\_\_\_ rpm \_\_\_\_\_
- Rinse effective \_\_\_\_\_
- Rinse gal/min \_\_\_\_\_
- Soap \_\_\_\_\_ with SOPP \_\_\_\_\_
- Time \_\_\_\_\_ sec. \_\_\_\_\_

- Pregrade

- # of graders \_\_\_\_\_
- % fruit being discarded \_\_\_\_\_
- Cannery \_\_\_\_\_ %
- Culls \_\_\_\_\_ %
- Decay \_\_\_\_\_ %, Other \_\_\_\_\_ %
- Fruit/grader/hour \_\_\_\_\_

- Water Elimination

- # of brushes \_\_\_\_\_
- # of donuts \_\_\_\_\_
- Brush speed \_\_\_\_\_ rpm \_\_\_\_\_
- Flick (Flipper) Bars in use \_\_\_\_\_
- Time in water eliminator \_\_\_\_\_ sec
- Effective water removal \_\_\_\_\_

- Fungicide Application

- Brush speed \_\_\_\_\_ rpm \_\_\_\_\_
- Residence time \_\_\_\_\_ sec \_\_\_\_\_
- Fungicide type \_\_\_\_\_ ppm \_\_\_\_\_
- Fungicide volume \_\_\_\_\_ ml/min \_\_\_\_\_
- Nozzles clogged? \_\_\_\_\_
- Field boxes/gal \_\_\_\_\_
- Fruit volume controls \_\_\_\_\_

- Prewax Dryer

- Width \_\_\_\_\_ inches \_\_\_\_\_
- Rollers/minute \_\_\_\_\_
- Temperature \_\_\_\_\_ °F \_\_\_\_\_
- Residence time \_\_\_\_\_ sec. \_\_\_\_\_
- Fruit condition at discharge \_\_\_\_\_

- Polisher
  - Temperature \_\_\_\_\_ °F
  - Brush speed \_\_\_\_\_ rpm
  - Wipeouts on? \_\_\_\_\_
  - Condition of fruit at discharge \_\_\_\_\_
  
- Wax Applicator
  - Wax \_\_\_\_\_ fungicide in wax \_\_\_\_\_
  - Brush speed \_\_\_\_\_ rpm
  - Time on brushes \_\_\_\_\_ sec
  - # brushes after wax application \_\_\_\_\_
  - Nozzles clogged? \_\_\_\_\_
  - Brush condition \_\_\_\_\_
  - Wax applicator type \_\_\_\_\_
  - Field boxes/gal \_\_\_\_\_
  - Fruit volume controls \_\_\_\_\_
  
- Wax Dryer
  - Temperature \_\_\_\_\_ °F
  - Drying time \_\_\_\_\_ sec
  - Roller condition \_\_\_\_\_
  - Fruit condition at discharge \_\_\_\_\_
  - Number of turns \_\_\_\_\_
  - Method of turns \_\_\_\_\_
  
- Final Grade
  - # of graders \_\_\_\_\_
  - Condition of grade table rollers \_\_\_\_\_
  - Fruit/grader/hour \_\_\_\_\_
  
- Sizing
  - Type \_\_\_\_\_
  - Condition \_\_\_\_\_
  - Sizes \_\_\_\_\_
  
- Packing
  - # of packers \_\_\_\_\_
  - Bulge \_\_\_\_\_ inches
  - Stack type (export or domestic) \_\_\_\_\_
  - Pallet type \_\_\_\_\_
  - # of Diphenyl pads \_\_\_\_\_